HUMAN EVENTS

Vol. XIII, No. 49 Saturday, December 8, 1956 Washington, D. C.

News Section *Printed in Two Sections*

Refugees and Politics: The Palace Guard's handling of the Hungarian Refugees is branded here as a piece of cynical politics, aimed at discrediting the Walter-McCarran Act. At a White House Conference November 11, it was decided to admit the homeless Hungarians under the temporary Refugee Relief Act of 1953, which expires December 31. Staff members of the House and Senate Judiciary Committees objected, pointing out that under that law's restrictive screening procedures very few refugees could be admitted. They proposed, instead, that the Administration invoke the provisions of the Walter-McCarran Act which allow an unlimited number of emergency refugees to enter the country on a temporary basis. (Screening for permanent residence takes place after the refugees are safely in the country, and have been given an opportunity to decide whether or not they want to live here.)

On November 27, Representative Walter wrote to Secretary of State Dulles and Attorney General Brownell, protesting the Administration's continued failure to invoke the Walter-McCarran Act. He recommended that "the emergency provisions of section 212 (d)(5) . . . be used in offering the Hungarian refugees the immediate safe asylum which they primarily seek at the present moment." Four days after the State Department received this letter, and after it had become known that Walter was to make its contents public on a TV program, the White House announced that 15,000 refugees would be admitted — under section 212 (d)(5). On December 4, Representative Walter received a reply to his letter of November 27, saying that State Department officials appreciated his interest, but that they were already (*i.e.*, as of December 1) doing what he advised.

In discussing this change in White House policy, Press Secretary Hagerty referred to the emergency provision as a "loophole" in the Walter-McCarran Act — implying that in spite of the law's restrictiveness, the humane Administration had found a way to jam some refugees through it. This is called outright misrepresentation in the Capital. The emergency clause, as Representative Walter has several times pointed out, was written precisely for such situations as the current refugee problem.

The reasons cited for all this maneuvering are two: (a) the Palace Guard was attempting, as usual, to play up "the Executive," making it appear that the White House alone, rather than a United States law permanently on the books, is responsible for extending a helping hand to the refugees; (b) "liberal" enemies of the Walter-McCarran Act wanted, at all costs, to keep the public from knowing that the Act contains humane and sensible provisions for helping unfortunate people from other countries.

Who Will Pay Middle East Bill? Under consideration is a plan by which the U.N. would coerce Egypt and the other Arab nations into accepting a peace treaty with Israel and in turn require Israel to compensate the 900,000 Arab refugees for the land they would give up. The refugees would then be resettled in Arab countries.

Israel would indemnify the Arab refugees with an amount variously estimated between $200 million and $900 million and the U.S. would advance the money as a 50-year loan, interest at two per cent or less. In addition, the U.S. would be expected to continue its economic aid program to Israel and perhaps add enough money to take care of a new flood of Jewish refugees from North African countries, 50,000 being expected from Egypt alone.

Nasser would be bought off with a new offer from the U.S. of $300-odd million for the Aswan Dam, but he would have to accept international control of Suez and the termination of Egypt's ban on Israeli shipping. The six other Arab League nations would end their technical state of war against Israel in return for huge economic aid grants from Uncle Sam. All in the name of "the duty of the United States to increase the standard of living of the underdeveloped countries."

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1956 by HUMAN EVENTS, INC.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

In retaliation for British-French hostility, Nasser has nationalized British and French businesses in Egypt. The United States would be expected to provide Nasser with the funds to compensate the alien owners.

These proposals, requiring a total of several billion dollars to be disbursed over a period of years, would come on top of an amount estimated at half-a-billion to a billion dollars to replace, from the Western Hemisphere, the oil lost as a result of damage to the Suez Canal. Western Europe has made it clear it expects substitute oil to be a gift.

When Congress is asked to pick up the tab, a number of Congressmen intend to ask if this is a sample of the cost of "America's responsibility to provide world leadership." They say we are continuously being told that unless we bribe both sides into an agreement, we — not the disputants — will be responsible if any brushfire turns into a world conflagration.

Foreign Aid: Foreign aid officials are reportedly urging the President to make 1957 another $4 billion-plus year for our overseas pensioners. A recent Commerce Department report — *Foreign Grants and Credits by the United States Government* — lists the top 20 recipients of our aid since 1945 as follows:

Country	Millions of Dollars	Country	Millions of Dollars
1. Britain	6,973	11. Indochina[2]	1,022
2. France	6,059	12. Philippines	871
3. Germany	3,948	13. Yugoslavia	866
4. Italy	2,877	14. India	603
5. Japan	2,587	15. Turkey	543
6. Korea	1,953	16. Israel	485
7. Benelux Countries[1]	1,697	17. Brazil	464
8. China	1,475	18. Russia	426
9. Greece	1,432	19. Poland	414
10. Austria	1,026	20. Pakistan	379

[1] Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg
[2] Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam

Out of the 24 countries involved only six — according to those who are familiar with conditions abroad — can be counted as strong allies of the United States. These countries — China, Korea, Vietnam, the Philippines, Turkey, and Pakistan — are not in themselves very strong, and some (such as Pakistan) seem to bending closer to "neutralism" under the impact of America's own "pro-neutralist" policy. The rest of the governments break down as follows: two are our permanently declared enemies (Poland and Russia); thirteen are either persistently neutral or subsiding into neutralism (Germany, Italy, Japan, Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg, Greece, Laos, Cambodia, Austria, Yugoslavia, Brazil, India); three are disaffected allies that we have condemned in the United Nations (Britain, France, Israel). Friends of foreign aid claim it is needed to "sustain the strength of the free world." Opponents say that for a total expenditure of $60 billion, this ten-year record does not look very much like "strength."

"Make Britain Pay": Senator William Langer (R., N.D.) says he will do all he can to block waiving of $82 million in interest payments by Britain on U. S. loans this year.

Britain requested the payments be waived to conserve its dwindling dollar reserves needed to pay for emergency U. S. oil shipments made necessary by the blocking of the Suez Canal.

Senator Langer, a member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee says: "England used more than $82 million in a war started against Egypt after bypassing the United Nations."

Dulles Resigning? Sources close to the White House say it is quite likely that John Foster Dulles will resign several months hence and be succeeded by Christian Herter. The retiring Massachusetts Governor is supported by Harold Stassen, Paul G. Hoffman, Milton Eisenhower, and Henry Cabot Lodge.

Bound volumes for 1956 — indexed — may now be ordered for March delivery. Regular price, $15; **pre-publication price, accepted until December 31, $7.50 each.** A few indexed bound volumes for 1955 are available at $15. None available for previous years.

Changeable Nehru: Diplomatic quarters in the Capital hear that Indian Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru's shift from condoning to condemning Russia's brutal suppression of Hungarian freedom seekers is traceable to two factors:

1. The leavening influence of his sister, the veteran diplomat Madame Pandit, who long has opposed the blatant pro-communism of Nehru's roving ambassador, V. K. Krishna Menon.

2. Domestic criticism in his own homeland of his original refusal to denounce Soviet barbarism in Hungary — particularly in view of his haste to assail the far milder British and French invasion

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

of Suez. This criticism is said to have been stronger than any directed at Nehru in a long, long time.

Another Embassy-row report is that some time next week, just a few days in advance of Nehru's arrival here to confer with President Eisenhower, Red China can be expected to free a few more American prisoners.

Such a move would be designed to demonstrate Peiping's purported good faith and to "soften up" U.S. opinion for the arguments Nehru will advance to the President for U.S. acceptance of Communist China in the United Nations.

The best guess is that the Peiping regime's chances have been virtually killed by world-wide resentment of the Hungarian atrocities.

Two Standards: A question asked by Constantine Brown in his Washington *Star* column for December 6 echoes loudly in this morally silent Capital. Brown points out that in 1938, when Nazis murdered 100 Jews, President Roosevelt recalled our Berlin Ambassador. The reason: it was "morally impossible for the President to remain on 'speaking terms' with the German dictatorship." Why, Brown asks, does not the U.S. enact similar sanctions against the Soviet Union, after the Hungarian massacre?

Conservative Youth: Intellectuals, especially leftists, are still dazed by the fact that the revolution in Hungary was led by the youth who were supposed to be thoroughly brainwashed. (To catch reactionary parents, schools in Iron Curtain countries offer prizes for essays on "What My Parents Talk About at Home.") Still more startling has been the rebellion of college students, who in Communist countries are selected almost exclusively from underprivileged families. Since the well-paid technicians and administrators of industry and government come largely from college graduates, this group is thus given a vested interest in preserving the Communist *status quo*.

Hungarian youth reacted not merely against Soviet domination, but also with equal violence against communism itself. They sought a return to a free economic life.

These developments have shocked many commentators into the discovery that American college students have turned sharply to the right in the last two or three years.

Malvina Lindsay, editorial page columnist of the New Dealish *Washington Post and Times Herald*, says that in the U.S. "young" fogyism may be replacing "old" fogyism. She writes (11/1/56):

"Some fear we are developing a crop of young fogies determined to keep everything as it is, and that thereby the Nation will lose some of its verve and vitality. . . .

"A conservative trend seems definitely under way among young voters and, unless a big political or economic upset occurs, could well develop much youthful fogyism. Some think it is a deeply grounded expression of the mood of the times. . . .

"From campus reports, it seems evident that many of the future leaders of the Nation are now going through a conservative cycle of political and economic thought. . . .

"Moreover, there is now some reversal of the classic youth rebellion formula, with sons and daughters of liberal parents turning ultraconservative. . . .

"The tendency to early marriage also puts responsibility on them and causes them to settle down as solid householders instead of staging rebellion or seeking adventure in action or thought."

L. Johnson: The liberal-left is sharpening its attacks on the Democratic party's Senate Floor Leader, Lyndon Johnson of Texas — who symbolizes the party's Southern element, and who also stands a good chance of becoming the party's Presidential candidate for 1960.

The ultra-liberal Americans for Democratic Action has burst forth with an outright demand that Johnson surrender his floor leadership during debate on the forthcoming effort to revise or repeal Senate Rule XXII, which permits filibusters.

ADA, in harness with Walter Reuther, engineered the nomination of Adlai Stevenson both in 1952 and in 1956; now it interprets his ignominious defeat as showing a need for no-compromise liberalism.

Thirteen Marked For Defeat: A confidential document is being circulated to union chiefs by the AFL-CIO's Committee of Political Education, listing thirteen Senators "who must be defeated in 1958." Three are Democrats: Byrd (Va.), Stennis (Miss.), Holland (Fla.). Ten are Republicans: Knowland (Calif.), Goldwater (Ariz.), Watkins (Utah), McCarthy (Wisc.), Jenner (Ind.), Bricker

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

(Ohio), Martin (Pa.), Beall (Md.), Williams (Del.), Purtell (Conn.)

Bomb Debate: Leftists, including scientists, continue their campaign to stop H-Bomb tests.

Eugene Rabinowitch, editor of the *Bulletin of The Atomic Scientists* (invariably a mouthpiece for liberals like J. Robert Oppenheimer) says, in a December editorial, that the political campaign debate "clearly revealed the incapacity of the public — including most political leaders on both sides — to evaluate in a balanced way the relevant scientific and technical arguments."

Setting himself up as judge and jury, Rabinowitch adds that it also "illuminated the limitations of scientists in public life . . . many of them proved unable to preserve full scientific objectivity." In other words, any scientist who supported President Eisenhower's rejection of the no-more-tests proposal was abandoning his objectivity.

Education Front: "Integration" is not the only field in which there are rumblings of a public school revolt. The battle over "progressivism" and related issues continues across the nation. Those who have watched the decline in American classroom standards nod their heads in agreement over a *U. S. News and World Report* interview with Dr. Arthur Bestor, of the University of Illinois (November 30). Because of so-called "progressive" teaching ideas, Bestor says, American children today are "less well educated" than the children of 1900.

Those who oppose current educational trends point to the events of recent months as proof that concern over "progressivism," as well as other phases of the NEA-PTA line on public school policy, is spreading.

● A short while ago the Board of Education in Phoenix, Arizona, approved a resolution against hiring graduates of teachers' colleges to teach liberal arts courses in Phoenix schools. The new ruling (based on a belief that "Education" majors "don't know as much as their students") requires applicants to hold a master's or doctor's degree from a liberal arts college.

● PTA chapters in and around Indianapolis, Indiana, have withdrawn from the PTA because they disagreed with policies handed down by the national office. The Indianapolis *Star* commented that the issue which perhaps did most to force the local groups out was "the continued stand of the state and national PTA groups in favor of Federal aid to education."

● PTA members in the Los Angeles, California, area have flared up at the state organization for its "gag rule tactics." PTA policy, say the dissidents, is decided from the top down, and local groups are not permitted to discuss both sides of an issue once a national or state PTA "stand" has been proclaimed.

Capitalist Counterattack is the title of a comprehensive pamphlet by economic analyst Major L. L. B. Angas, dissecting the logical fallacies that underlie the case for socialism. The pamphlet simultaneously clarifies the basic principles of free enterprise ("Competition is not only the Great Goad to producers. It is also the Great Protector of consumers, and the Great Controller which fixes prices *democratically* . . ."). Five other pamphlets in Major Angas' terse, colorful style are also available: *ABC of Capitalism, Who and What Controls Capitalism, ABC of Socialism, False Witness of the Left,* and *Risk and the Need for Speculation.* Each pamphlet, complete in itself, $1. Write to Major L. L. B. Angas, Saxtons River, Vt.

Letter from EUGENE S. BIBB, Brigadier General, USA (Ret.), lecturer and broadcaster: "For a number of years I have been an interested subscriber to HUMAN EVENTS and have always regarded it as essential to any individual who can think and has a real desire to keep abreast of the rapidly moving events in the world. I have yet to find your publication wrong or misleading in any particular."

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTION to HUMAN EVENTS for new subscribers only: 5 weeks for $1.

Yearly rate, $10; 6 months, $6; 3 months, $3.

By first class mail, $12 a year; by airmail (including APO and FPO) $14 a year. FOREIGN: by regular mail, $13 a year; airmail, $18.

Allow us 2 weeks to process new subscriptions and changes of address.

1955 Bound Annual Volume with complete Index $15. All Bound Volumes for years prior to 1955 have been sold.

The Declaration of Independence opens: "When in the course of HUMAN EVENTS . . ."

FRANK C. HANIGHEN, *Editor and Publisher*
JAMES L. WICK, *Executive Publisher*
Contributing Editors: FRANK CHODOROV, M. STANTON EVANS

HUMAN EVENTS, 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., is a weekly newsletter reporting from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. Published usually in two sections: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article section. Entered as second class matter at Washington, D. C. Copyright, 1956.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Volume XIII, No. 49 HUMAN EVENTS December 8, 1956

Article Section

WHAT CAN YOU BUY WITH A DOLLAR?

by DAVID SHEA TEEPLE

REMEMBER THE STORY of the man who gave his son a dollar bill, saying "I want to teach you the value of a dollar; go out and *try* to buy something"? One buck these days won't get much in a material way.

It can, however, provide us with a political philosophy to measure every fraud, every phoney, every rabble-rouser and every charlatan.

We have all handled a considerable number of dollar bills in our time. Let's take one now and examine it carefully.

On the back is the Great Seal of the United States. We are familiar with the eagle clutching the arrows in one claw and the olive branch in the other, with the motto, "E Pluribus Unum."

This is the obverse or front of the Great Seal. What about the reverse, which is on the left-hand side of the bill? It's a Pyramid with an eye, and some mumbo-jumbo Latin words which not one out of a hundred thousand Americans can translate.

Actually these words express the hopes, the dreams and the aspirations of this entire nation and of free men everywhere. Furthermore, these words provide a yardstick by which to measure any person in political office. This phrase is an infallible criterion if applied with a little common sense.

The Latin words are, "Annuit Coeptis Novus Ordo Seclorum MDCCLXXVI." The translation is, "God has favored our undertaking—A New Order of the Ages, 1776."* Knowing what these words mean, how can this knowledge be used in our daily lives?

First, what was the *New Order of the Ages* in 1776? In that year the Declaration of Independence was signed. What was so new about it (so new, in fact, that the designers of the Great Seal of the United States saw fit to call this the beginning date for "A New Order of the Ages")?

For the first time in the history of the world, a group of men dared to state a philosophy based on the innate divinity of the individual, and to rear upon it a political edifice.

For the first time it was stated that man was a creature of God; that he possessed certain unalienable rights and that among these were life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; that Governments were created by men and that men could destroy them

* For years the World Almanac devoted almost a full page to explaining the Great Seal of the United States. Since 1955 this page has been omitted. The editors probably needed more space for U.N. material.

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1956 by HUMAN EVENTS, INC.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

if they so desired and replace them with Governments more to their liking. For the first time the individual person was regarded as the master of the State and not the slave and creature of Government. This was the *New Order of the Ages.* It was radically revolutionary, and it defied all previous human history. Since 1776 this philosophy has been the pattern for other brave people.

REGIMENTATION of people is as old as civilization. From the days of the Pharaohs through the bloody annals of Tamerlane, people have been regimented, regulated and forced to serve the dictates of Government.

It's no trick to regiment people. You do it by force. Anybody who disagrees you execute or, in modern terminology, "liquidate." The Old Order is regimentation and regulation. The New Order is freedom and individual responsibility.

Communism, Nazism, Fascism, Socialism, and all other such "isms" have one common feature: in each, the individual is always subordinated to the State. Power comes from above, not from those who are governed. Freedom of the Press, Religion, Assemblage, Petition and the rest are subject to the control and caprice of the rulers. This is the "Old Order" — even if it is being advocated in the 20th Century.

We have recently observed the Old Order in action when the tanks and troops of the Soviet Union slaughtered the Hungarian patriots in a bloodbath in Budapest.

Though the weapons were different, the technique was the same as that used by Alexander the Great, Nero, Alaric the Goth, Genghis Khan, Ivan the Terrible, the Duke of Alva and Adolph Hitler.

TODAY the words "conservative" and "liberal" are used so loosely that they have lost value as yardsticks by which to measure human behavior. People listening to politicians can very easily get confused, but not if they think of *Novus Ordo Seclorum* while the person is speaking.

One of the fantastic developments in American politics is that a certain group who favor a bigger and more powerful central Government, socialized medicine, socialized industry, Social Security from the cradle to the grave, and Government controls and regulation of every facet of our lives call themselves "liberals" and get away with it.

Examine the philosophy of men like Hubert Humphrey and Wayne Morse. They profess to be great "liberals" and their hearts bleed for the "common man." Yet almost every program which they and others of their stripe espouse means more Government control, more regimentation and less freedom for the individual. If we follow their lead, will we maintain and strengthen the New Order of the Ages, or will we return to the regimentation which characterized the past?

Examine the political philosophy of some of the other "giant liberals." In essence don't they all stand for more rules, for more regulations, for more regimentation, for more Government control and for less individual responsibility and freedom? No matter what they say, aren't they going backwards? Won't they eventually end

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

up with a "big brother" Government to take care of all of our activities?

The Declaration of Independence and the Constitution which put into law the fundamental principles which were embodied in that Declaration bluntly stated that man could take care of himself and that Government should only enter into those areas where the individual could not satisfactorily cope with the problem.

To a philosopher the intellectual arrogance of the professional "liberals" is astounding. They are as intolerant and intemperate as the high priests of the Ancient Egyptian God of Ra. Furthermore, their advice, if followed, would eventually lead the American people back to the chains of Government servitude.

The philosopher today is also amused by the fact that those who most vigorously champion the basic tenets of the Declaration of Independence and the New Order of the Ages are now scurrilously referred to as "reactionaries." The minute a politician opposes some Government giveaway program, some Government intrusion on individual responsibility, some plan for regulation or control, he is worked over by the "liberals" as being a man who has no vision. The defenders of the faith today have a hard time combatting the propaganda barrage of those who claim they are looking forward while in reality they are walking backwards.

CERTAINLY nothing is more true than that everything on the face of this earth has a limit to its existence. This applies to people, institutions and Governments. Whether or not a person or an institution lives to the limit ordained by destiny, however, depends to some degree upon its own conduct. A person can shorten his life span by irresponsible actions and by reckless behavior. By the same token Governments can shorten their existence by deviating from the fundamental principles upon which they were founded. Any society which recklessly abandons the tenets which made it great is pursuing a course of national suicide.

Can any rational man believe that the following programs are in accordance with the basic tenets of our past history?

1. **Deficit financing and fiscal insolvency.**
2. **Export of our natural resources in giveaway foreign aid programs.**
3. **Participation in international organizations which weaken our national sovereignty.**
4. **Overemphasis on security instead of *opportunity* in our domestic affairs.**
5. **Constant compromise of honor, justice and truth in an hysterical search for world peace.**
6. **Dissipation and overcommitment of our armed forces all over the globe.**
7. **Placing reliance on *others* to provide for our defense and security.**

Would this nation have ever achieved greatness if we had followed these programs from our inception?

Incidentally, while we're at it, let's examine the logic of those who believe the doctrine of the "essential man." We can quickly dispel this myth.

One safeguard for representative government is the indisputable fact that no man lives forever. Any person who preaches the indispensability of a particular leader (no matter what party he may represent) is a fool. There is a quip in Wash-

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

ington, which, though slightly sacrilegious, is completely expressive. Cynics tell sightseers as they ride past Arlington Cemetery that, "This is where we keep our indispensable men."

Institutions can only be self-perpetuating if in their organization they provide for the constant training of competent leaders. The thought that 168 million Americans could afford to place their lives and destinies in the impermanence of one individual is contrary to the philosophy expressed in the New Order of the Ages.

ONE OF THE primary factors incident to the destruction of all forms of tyrannical governments has always been the grim reaper. Caesar is dead. Can he be replaced? Stalin is dead. Who shall take over? The great and lasting strength of the American system is that machinery is constitutionally established by which the people transfer their authority to properly designated officials in the event that tragedy strikes. It has happened many times in our history and will happen again in the future.

All this you can comprehend clearly and without doubt if you thoughtfully meditate on the meaning of: *Novus Ordo Seclorum.* You will then evaluate every program in your community, in your state and in your national Government by the one criterion — *will this program add to individual responsibility and freedom, or will it establish another set of regulations to control our people and to make them more dependent upon and consequently more subservient to Government?* Those who believe in the New Order of the Ages know that for every privilege a man has there must be a corollary responsibility. Any program which claims to give benefits without demanding sacrifices and responsibility is a fraud. *The Government in and of itself has nothing.* What it accumulates it takes from the people. What it gives back represents but a small portion of the original levy.

Don't you think it's about time we in America either *rededicate ourselves* to the New Order of the Ages, or else take these Latin words off of the Great Seal?

Additional copies of this 4-page Article: single copy 20¢ (coins, not stamps); 10 copies $1; 25 copies $2; 100 copies $5; 500 copies $20; 1,000 copies $30. In 5,000 lots $20 per thousand. Unless otherwise ordered, reprints are sent by fourth-class mail which takes ten days to two weeks for delivery. For first-class mail delivery, add one cent a copy, for airmail, add two cents a copy.

David Shea Teeple has held many responsible positions in the Executive and Legislative branches of Government. He is now a consultant to industry and to several Congressional committees and Executive departments.

Companies and individuals, now making up their Christmas gift lists, should give consideration to HUMAN EVENTS — a gift that will inspire a special appreciation 52 times a year. Two or more 3-month subscriptions, $2.50 each; two or more 6-month subscriptions, $5 each; two or more annual subscriptions, $9 each.

A gift card, in your name, will accompany the first issue of the gift subscription. To make sure the card is properly inscribed, please indicate just how the inscription should read.

A $60 order entitles you to a free bound and indexed volume of HUMAN EVENTS for 1956 (regular price, $15).

Order now, pay next year.

HUMAN EVENTS was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. It is published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., and reports from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It is usually published in two parts: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article. $10 per year.

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS, new subscribers only: 6 weeks for $1.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED